PROJECT IDEA NOTE (PIN)

Description of size and quality expected of a PIN

Basically a PIN will consist of approximately 5-10 pages providing <u>indicative</u> information on:

- A. Project participants
- **B.** Project description, type, size, location and schedule
- **C.** Avoided / reduced GHG emissions
- **D.** Financial aspects
- E. Expected environmental and socio-economic benefits
- F. Risks
- G. Other relevant information

A. PROJECT PARTICIPANTS

| Name of the Project Participant | Ministry of Local Government (MoLG) |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Role of the Project Participant | a. Project Operator |
| | b. Owner of the project's site |
| | c. Owner of the emission reduction credits |
| Organizational category | Public |
| Contact person | Mr. S. Purmessur |
| | Assistant Permanent Secretary |
| | Ministry of Local Government (MoLG) Rodrigues and Outer Islands |
| Address | 3 rd Floor, Emmanuel Anquetil Building |
| | Corner Jules Koenig and SSR Streets |
| | Port Louis |
| | Mauritius |
| Telephone/Fax | Tel: +230 201 3008 |
| | Fax: +230 208 9729 |
| | +230 201 1660 |
| E-mail and web address, if any | http://www.gov.mu/portal/site/mlge |
| | |
| Main activities | The Ministry of Local Government (MoLG) is responsible for local government |
| | administration and coordination. MoLG budgets for general services, such as |
| | street lighting, and distributes grants necessary for local authorities to furnish |
| | such services to Mauritian communities. Another important function concerns |
| | responsibility for Solid Waste Management, including arrangements for the |
| | collection and safe disposal of solid waste, setting up and operation of waste |
| | disposal sites, such as transfer stations and sanitary landfills, issuing waste |
| | carrier licenses, and control of illegal dumping. In addition, the Ministry has |
| | general responsibility for the management of public beaches across the island. |
| Summary of the relevant | As the Governmental body in charge of street lighting management, the MoLG |
| experience of the Project | has all the necessary resources and experience in undertaking this project. |
| Participant | [|

B. PROJECT DESCRIPTION, TYPE, LOCATION AND SCHEDULE

| OBJECTIVE OF THE PROJECT | The objective of the project is to reduce the carbon footprint of public street |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| Describe in not more than 5 lines | lighting by installing efficient lighting on the roads of Mauritius. Operational |
| | electricity cost savings will enable the MoLG to better manage public funds to |
| | support other priority sectors, thereby improving community access to other |
| | critical social services over time. |
| PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND | MoLG is the governmental agency in charge of maintaining public road |
| PROPOSED ACTIVITIES | lighting. MoLG has studied the possibility of replacing all the street lights in |
| About ½ page | Mauritius with low-energy consumption units. This will enable the MoLG to |
| | streamline its purchasing program, reduce its running electricity bill, and |
| | participate in a national effort to achieving lower GHGs emissions. This passive |
| | retrofit will not require specialized knowledge, the process is as simple as |
| | changing a standard fluorescent tube. |

| | MoLG will solicit financing from the "Maurice Ile Durable (MID) ¹ Fund", a fund designed to partially finance the cost of sustainable development projects in the Island championed by the government. |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| | In total there are 104,510 public street lights on the Islands of Mauritius and Rodrigues of various wattage intensities and brands. Depending on funding, the project will be implemented in two phases. Phase 1 targets the installation of 21,314 low consumption units. An assessment by an independent consultant will then be undertaken to highlight the impact of phase 1 on the basis of which MoLG and MID Fund will decide on continuing the program to a Phase 2. Phase 2 targets the installation of the remaining 83,196 units. |
| TECHNOLOGY TO BE EMPLOYED | MoLG has decided that it will install T-5 Ecotube lamps to replace currently |
| Describe in not more than 5 lines | installed lamps, (i.e., uses 70W against 100W, 125W or 150W) resulting in substantial reductions in energy use compared to the baseline. The exact brand or supplier of the new lights is not yet known, however. The procurement will have to undergo tender based on the specifications that external consultants are in the process of drafting. This procurement process should, if all goes according to plans, be done before year's end (Dec 2009). |
| | |
| | The Eco Tube can be best described as a very efficient electronic transformer |
| | coupled to a T8 to T5 tube adaptor. The transformer is housed in a flame |
| | retardant coated, high-impact plastic extrusion. |
| | The College design of the second second second second second second |
| | For full technical information, please refer to website: |
| | http://www.ecotube.com.au/technical_specifications |
| | Carbon diavida (CO) |
| | |
| $CO_2/CH_4/N_2O/HFCS/PFCS/SF_6$ | |
| Type of activities | CHCs abatament |
| Abatement/CO sequestration | |
| Field of activities | Energy efficiency improvement |
| (mention what is annlicable) | |
| See annex 1 for examples | |
| LOCATION OF THE PROJECT | 1 |
| Country | Mauritius |
| City | All cities |
| Brief description of the location | Mauritius is a 2.040 km ² Island in the Indian Ocean with a population of about |
| of the project | 1,200,000 inhabitants. The island has 2000 km of roads. 1960 km of which is |
| No more than 3-5 lines | paved and about a quarter of it being publicly lighted. The overall Island of |
| | Mauritius and that of Rodrigues is the project boundary. |
| EXPECTED SCHEDULE | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| Earliest project start date | February 2010 |
| Year in which the plant/project | |

¹ MID stands for Maurice Ile Durable, i.e. Mauritius Sustainable Island. This fund has been created to support national projects that will benefit the sustainable development strategy of Mauritius.

| September 2009 | |
|--|---|
| activity will be operational | [] |
| Estimate of time required before | 6 months |
| becoming operational after | |
| approval of the PIN | |
| Expected first year of | February 2011 |
| CER/ERU/VERs delivery | |
| Project lifetime | Ongoing project – new efficient street lights will be replaced over and over as |
| Number of years | often as necessary in order to ensure continuous quality lighting to the general public. One street light has a lifespan of about 30,000 hours (some 6-7 years operational time at 12h lighting per day). Street light changes will happen over a period of time (2-3 months needed – hence, if procurement finalised in December 2009, project could be considered as started in February 2010). The bulbs that will need replacing, once life span over, will be replaced. |
| For CDM projects: | 10 years fixed period |
| Expected Crediting Period | |
| 7 years twice renewable or 10 years fixed | |
| For JI projects: | |
| Period within which ERUs are to | |
| be earned (up to and including | |
| 2012) | |
| Current status or phase of the project | Pre-feasibility and GHG savings analysis made |
| Current status of accentance of | Only informal communication with DNA as of now |
| the Host Country | |
| The position of the Host Country | The Host Country acceded to the Kyoto Protocol in 2001 |
| with regard to the Kyoto Protocol | |
| · | ······································ |

C. AVOIDED / REDUCED GHG EMISSIONS

| - | |
|--|--|
| ESTIMATE OF GREENHOUSE | Phase 1: 3,590 tCO2 equivalent |
| GASES ABATED/ | |
| CO ₂ SEQUESTERED | Phase 2: 17,604 tCO2 equivalent |
| In metric tons of CO ₂ -equivalent, | |
| please attach calculations | Over 10 years => 161,191 tCO2 equivalent |
| | |
| | See calculations and assumptions in attached spreadsheet |
| | |

BASELINE SCENARIO

Baseline methodology to be used

This project is covered by an existing Approved CDM Small-Scale Methodology **II.J./Version 02** Sectoral Scope: 03 EB 44: **"Energy efficiency improvement projects"**. The grid emission factor (GEF) has been calculated using ACM0002 / Version 07: "Consolidated baseline methodology for grid-connected electricity from renewable sources", a GEF value of **1.136** was obtained.

What modifications the project would induce?

This project will not result in a modification of the current lighting fixtures in any dramatic way. The major change is in the technology and price of the new efficient lamps that will be used. Retrofitting of street lamps' poles is not part of the project scope and is not thought necessary at this stage.

What would be the situation in the absence of the project activity?

The baseline scenario is to keep on using a mix of light bulbs' "types and wattage" that would correspond to what is used today – i.e., a whole array of different brands and wattage types (see calculation XL tables). Those bulbs would be using the electricity generated by the grid. The baseline scenario used in this study is therefore the electricity generated using existing power plants, and delivered by the grid. In the baseline scenario, the "baseline lights" are not only more energy demanding but also have a shorter life span then the new efficient bulbs, this means that more maintenance (driving, energy needed to power the lifting cranes, maintenance man days, etc.) and associated costs and energy use will decrease thanks to the CDM.

| ADDITIONALITY | • | The upfront capital cost of efficient lamp technology is high, even if the |
|----------------------------------|---|--|
| Please explain which | | return on investment can be quite fast. In the current financial and |
| additionality arguments apply to | | political climate, the MoLG cannot make efficient lighting a top priority |
| the project: | | and disburse all the necessary money from its current budget. |
| | • | The MID Fund will only support the project if MoLG demonstrates that all |
| | | possible "personal efforts" were done to self-finance the project. |

C. FINANCIAL ASPECTS

| TOTAL CAPITAL COST ESTIMATE (PRE-OPERATIONAL) | | |
|---|--|--|
| Installed costs | 3.484 US\$ million (equipment = bulbs + installation) | |
| Other costs (please specify) | 0.5 US\$ million (Consulting assessment report including terms of reference of | |
| | procurement tender and tendering process itself) – CDM Verification and | |
| | certification are not included and will ideally be paid by the CER buyer as part | |
| | of an overall deal). | |
| Total project costs | 3.984US\$ million | |
| SOURCES OF FINANCE TO BE SOU | GHT OR ALREADY IDENTIFIED | |
| Equity | Does not apply | |
| Name of the organizations, | | |
| status of financing agreements | | |
| and finance (in US\$ million) | | |
| Debt – Long-term | Does not apply | |
| Name of the organizations, | | |
| status of financing agreements | | |
| and finance (in US\$ million) | | |
| Debt – Short term | Does not apply | |
| Name of the organizations, | | |
| status of financing agreements | | |
| and finance (in US\$ million) | | |
| Carbon finance advance | Does not apply | |
| payments ² sought | | |
| SOURCES OF CARBON FINANCE | Not yet approached | |
| Name of carbon financiers that | | |

² Advance payment subject to appropriate guarantees may be considered.

A period of 7 years

| your are contacting (if any) | |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| INDICATIVE CER/ERU/VER PRICE | Ideally looked for by promoter, at present time: |
| PER tCO ₂ e ³ | |
| Price is subject to negotiation. | VER = 5 USD per VER sought (free of validation and auditing costs) |
| Please indicate VER or CER | CER = 10 Euro for pre-2012 and 7 Euro for Post-2012 |
| preference if known. ⁴ | |
| | Although a sales strategy for the carbon credits has not yet been established, |
| | MoLG may consider selling phase 1 emission reductions as VERs, and phase 2 |
| | reductions as CERs. |
| TOTAL EMISSION REDUCTION PU | RCHASE AGREEMENT (ERPA) VALUE |
| A period until 2012 (end of the | To be negotiated US\$ / € |
| first commitment period) | |
| A period of 10 years | To be negotiated US\$ / € |

Please provide a financial analysis for the proposed CDM/JI activity, including the forecast financial internal rate of return for the project with and without the Emission Reduction revenues. Provide the financial rate of return at the Emission Reduction price indicated in section "Indicative CER/ERU/VER Price". DO NOT assume any up-front payment from the Carbon Finance Unit at the World Bank in the financial analysis that includes World Bank carbon revenue stream.

Provide a spreadsheet to support these calculations. The <u>PIN Financial Analysis Model</u> available at <u>www.carbonfinance.org</u> is recommended.

To be negotiated US\$ / €

| LOCAL BENEFITS | Reducing the local impacts of global warming due to reduced GHGs emissions |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| E.g. impacts on local air, water | caused by the reduced energy consumption by the new street lights. |
| and other pollution. | |
| GLOBAL BENEFITS | Reducing emission of carbon dioxide gas from the fossil-fuel grid sources thus |
| Describe if other global benefits | reducing the impacts of global warming |
| than greenhouse gas emission | |
| reductions can be attributed to | |
| the project. | |
| SOCIO-ECONOMIC ASPECTS | |
| What social and economic | By reducing the electricity needed to power street lights, MoLG is in effect |
| effects can be attributed to the | reducing the need for energy generation from the grid. The Mauritian grid |
| project and which would not | heavily relies on fossil fuels (coal and HFO) all of which is imported. Lowering |
| have occurred in a comparable | electricity demand will have a positive impact on fossil fuel importation; in |

D. EXPECTED ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL BENEFITS

³ Please also use this figure as the carbon price in the PIN Financial Analysis Model (cell C94).

⁴ The World Bank Carbon Finance Unit encourages the seller to make an informed decision based on sufficient understanding of the relative risks and price trade-offs of selling VERs vs. CERs. In VER contracts, buyers assume all carbon-specific risks described above, and payment is made once the ERs are verified by the UNaccredited verifier. In CER/ERU contracts, the seller usually assumes a larger component - if not all – of the carbon risks. In such contracts, payment is typically being made upon delivery of the CER/ERU. For more information about Pricing and Risk, see <u>"Risk and Pricing in CDM/JI Market and Implications on Bank Pricing Guidelines for Emission Reductions"</u>.

| situation without that project? | turn, the country will save foreign currencies. MoLG could also effectively |
|------------------------------------|---|
| Indicate the communities and | reallocate savings on an annualized basis from reduced electricity demand |
| the number of people that will | toward improving waste management or beach preservation/protection. |
| benefit from this project. | |
| What are the possible direct | Some employment may be created in the installation of the new lamps (not |
| effects (e.g. employment | yet clear exact numbers). |
| creation, provision of capital | |
| required, foreign exchange | |
| effects)? | |
| What are the possible other | Since street lighting is public by nature, this wide-reaching program will |
| effects (e.g. training/education | prominently demonstrate the viability of energy efficient lighting on the island. |
| associated with the introduction | This will give more weight to the government's overall policy and may spur the |
| of new processes, technologies | general public to adopt similar changes in their lighting expenditures. |
| and products and/or the effects | |
| of a project on other industries)? | |
| ENVIRONMENTAL STRATEGY/ | Following the 2008 fossil fuel price crisis, the Government of Mauritius has |
| PRIORITIES OF THE HOST | realized that saving energy and promoting renewable sources of energy in the |
| COUNTRY | country was important for country's long term growth and stability. Incentives |
| A brief description of the | and advertising campaigns have been made to encourage people and the CEB |
| project's consistency with the | (Central Electricity Board) has started an energy efficiency campaign, yet, own |
| environmental strategy and | implementation of the energy efficiency principle is still in the making. This |
| priorities of the Host Country | project would fit the general policy of the Host Country. |
| About ¼ page | |
| | Investment in "green/efficient" technologies is still a novelty in Mauritius. In |
| | the political context, the general populace does not think about the long-term |
| | value of such energy efficiency projects and thus are not always adequately |
| | supported. Financial support from CDM would greatly help the Government to |
| | present the project to the people of Mauritius as a "low cost - high return" |
| | project. |

| Risks in the Project | Please describe the factors that may cause delays in, or prevent |
|-----------------------------|---|
| | implementation of the project |
| Estimate the Degree of Risk | |
| Technical risk | Low – the technology and its implementation are straight forward. Such projects have already been demonstrated worldwide to be workable. Furthermore, the quality of the street lights to be installed will be guaranteed by the seller. Thus, if the technology chosen fails, the money will be reimbursed and the MoLG will be able to buy another type of technology/product. However, if the technology chosen is not efficient or reliable, then the project could lose associated CER revenues. |
| Timing risk | Medium-low – the timing risk mainly lies in MoLG's procurement process which could take longer than initially estimated. |
| Budget risk | Low – the government is moving in two phases in order to determine, on a large scale, if the project is really saving electricity and money. Once convinced, the Government will likely unlock the necessary funds for the project's second phase. It must be noted that the Government recently |

| validated a preliminary budget for the replacement of 50,000 light points meaning that Phase 1 is totally financed and Phase 2 nearly half financed. |
|--|
| One other risk is that elections are to happen within the coming year. If the government changes, the possibility exist that the new entrant might not follow past projects through. This is highly unlikely but is a possibility. |

G. OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION

Please mention any additional information or precisions to justify the project under CDM